

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/674,073	10/26/2000	Martin John Ellis	36-1372	1461	
75	90 07/06/2004		EXAMINER		
Nixon & Vanderhye			MOSLEHI, FARHOOD		
8th Floor 1100 North Gle	be Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			2154	7	
	DATE MAILE				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	licant(s)		
•		09/674,073	ELLIS ET AL.	ELLIS ET AL.	
Office Action Sun	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Farhood Moslehi	2154		
	s communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	dress	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS (- Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is les If NO period for reply is specified above, it - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 te of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply e maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed) days will be considered timel from the mailing date of this coonsidered (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
	2b)☐ This condition for allowar	o <u>ril 2004</u> . action is non-final. ace except for formal matters ax parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	•	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4)	is/are withdrav wed. cted. ected to.	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
	is/are: a) ☐ acce at any objection to the o s) including the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 Cl		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of t 2. Certified copies of t 3. Copies of the certified	None of: he priority documents he priority documents ed copies of the prior International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Appliity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawii 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3.7</u> .	ng Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	hill Date nal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/674,073 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 20-50 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 20-26, 30, 31, 40-42, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yates et al. (6,330,586) (hereinafter Yates).
- 4. As per claim 20, Yates teaches a communications system comprising a plurality of client side and server side computing elements, each computing element supported by a distributed processing environment whereby distributed software objects in different physical parts of the system interact by passing messages via data communications links, the communications system including service generic code and service specific code, which is distributed between said plurality of computing elements during a service session, wherein the service generic code supports a plurality of differing types of service during a service session, said service generic code when in use comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/674,073

Art Unit: 2154

A session manager which performs functions generic to said plurality of differing types of service during service sessions (e.g. col. 11, lines 49-55);

Wherein for each type of said differing types of service, said session manager is arranged during a service session in which a plurality of participants participate, to generate event messages, each event message indicating at least one discrete change which has just occurred in the session-related status of an individual user of the session without any historical data, and to transmit said event messages to an event handler for processing (e.g. col. 11, lines 38-45).

- 5. As per claim 40, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 6. As per claim 41, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 7. As per claim 42, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 8. As per claim 48, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 9. As per claim 49, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 10. As per claim 21, Yates teaches the communications system wherein the computing elements include:

A retailer server (e.g. Figure 1);

A plurality of third party servers, each third party server being arranged to have access to a data base for the storage and retrieval of service related data (e.g. Figure 1); and a plurality of user terminals connected to the retailer server via a data communications network (e.g. Figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/674,073

Art Unit: 2154

- 11. As per claim 22, Yates teaches the communications system wherein the third party servers are connected remotely to the retailer server via communications links (e.g. Figure 11).
- 12. As per claim 23, Yates teaches the communications system wherein the third party servers are co-located with the retailer server (e.g. Figure 1).
- 13. As per claim 24, Yates teaches the communications system wherein the retailer server comprises one or more servers interconnected in a network (e.g. Figure 1).
- 14. As per claim 25, Yates teaches the communications system wherein at least one of said plurality of third party servers comprises a plurality of servers interconnected in a network (e.g. Figure 1).
- 15. As per claim 26, Yates teaches the communications system wherein at least one of the user terminals comprises a mobile communications terminal (e.g. col. 3, lines 24-35).
- 16. As per claim 30, Yates teaches the communications system wherein said event handler comprises a cost data processor for costing a service provided by a third party during a service session (e.g. col. 16, lines 28-35).
- 17. As per claim 46, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above
- 18. As per claim 31, Yates teaches the communications system wherein said event handler comprises an event message multiplier for copying said event messages and distributing said copied messages to a plurality of event processors (e.g. col. 9, lines 1-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/674,073

Art Unit: 2154

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

19. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 20. Claims 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "TINA Consortium Network Resource Architecture Version 3.0 February 10,1997" (hereinafter TINA).
- 21. As per claim 32, TINA teaches a session pricing manager apparatus arranged to perform a charging algorithm for a service session in a communications network, the session pricing manager apparatus being arranged to receive a plurality of event messages originating from the service session, the event messages being arranged to inform a service session manager of a predetermined set of session-related events, each event indicating at least one discrete change in the session related status of an individual participant in a session without any historical data, the session pricing manager apparatus comprising:

Means to filter events to discard events which are not, for the service in question, determinative of price (e.g. page 7-174, Figure 7-19); and

Means to copy each filtered event to a plurality of pricing engines to be logically combined in accordance with a defined charging algorithm to produce calculated price data for each participation in the session to allow a charge to be debited from an

Application/Control Number: 09/674,073

Art Unit: 2154

account of a responsible party for the participation (e.g. page 7-138, Figure 7-1 and page 7-140, Figure 7-2).

- 22. As per claim 35, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 23. As per claim 36, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 24. As per claim 50, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 25. As per claim 33, TINA teaches the session pricing manager apparatus wherein the actions, or changes in status, of at least one other participation within the service session is taken into account in the operation of the charging algorithm of the participation in question (e.g. page 7-140, paragraphs 1-4).
- 26. Claim 34 is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 27. As per claim 37, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 28. As per claim 38, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 29. As per claim 39, Tina teaches the apparatus wherein the charges indicated for said other participants are dependent only on charges in status of the respective participants for which the billing records are produced (e.g. page 7-147, section 7.4.3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

30. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,073 Page 7

Art Unit: 2154

31. Claim 27,28,43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yates in view of Lynch-Aird (6,240,402).

- 32. As per claim 27, Yates does not specifically teach the communications system wherein said event handler comprises a pricing data processor for pricing a participant's usage of a service during a service session. Lynch-Aird teaches the communications system wherein said event handler comprises a pricing data processor for pricing a participant's usage of a service during a service session (e.g. col. 1, lines 23-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Yates with Lynch-Aird. The motivation would have been to provide a charging mechanism.
- 33. As per claim 43, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 34. As per claim 28, Yates does not specifically teach the communications system wherein said pricing data processor is arranged to perform service-specific processing of said event messages. Lynch-Aird teaches the communications system wherein said pricing data processor is arranged to perform service-specific processing of said event messages (e.g. col. 2, lines 44-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Yates with Lynch-Aird. The motivation would have been to provide a charging mechanism.
- 35. As per claim 44, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 36. Claims 29 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yates in view of Ginzboorg et al. (6,047,051).

Application/Control Number: 09/674,073

Art Unit: 2154

- 37. As per claim 29, Yates does not specifically teach the communications system wherein said event handler comprises a service usage monitor for storing and/or analyzing usage of said services over statistically significant numbers of service sessions. Ginzboorg teaches the communications system wherein said event handler comprises a service usage monitor for storing and/or analyzing usage of said services over statistically significant numbers of service sessions (e.g. col. 1, lines 23-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Yates with Ginzboorg. The motivation would have been to provide for a billing system.
- 38. As per claim 45, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 39. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yates in view of TINA.
- 40. As per claim 47, Yates does not specifically teach the event handler apparatus wherein the processor means comprises a session pricing manager arranged to operate a charging algorithm for a service session in a communications network, the session pricing manager being arranged to receive the plurality of event messages originating from the service session, the event messages being arranged to inform the service session manager of a predetermined set of session-related events, the session pricing manager comprising:

Means to filter received events to discard events which are not, for the service in question, determinative of price;

Application/Control Number: 09/674,073

Art Unit: 2154

Means to copy each filtered event to a plurality of pricing engines to be logically combined in accordance with a defined charging algorithm to produce calculated price data for each participation in the session to allow a charge to be debited from an account of a responsible party for the participation, wherein the actions, or changes in status, of at least one other participation within the service session is taken into account in the operation of the charging algorithm of the participation in question.

41. Tina teaches the event handler apparatus wherein the processor means comprises a session pricing manager arranged to operate a charging algorithm for a service session in a communications network, the session pricing manager being arranged to receive the plurality of event messages originating from the service session, the event messages being arranged to inform the service session manager of a predetermined set of session-related events, the session pricing manager comprising:

Means to filter received events to discard events which are not, for the service in question, determinative of price (e.g. page 7-174, Figure 7-19);

Means to copy each filtered event to a plurality of pricing engines to be logically combined in accordance with a defined charging algorithm to produce calculated price data for each participation in the session to allow a charge to be debited from an account of a responsible party for the participation, wherein the actions, or changes in status, of at least one other participation within the service session is taken into account

in the operation of the charging algorithm of the participation in question (e.g. page 7-

138, Figure 7-1 and page 7-140, Figure 7-2). It would have been obvious to one of

'Application/Control Number: 09/674,073

Art Unit: 2154

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Yates with TINA.

The motivation would have been to provide for message filtering and pricing.

Conclusion

42. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farhood Moslehi whose telephone number is 703-305-8646. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 703-305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fm

PRIMARY EXAMINER